REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Neumann Minor Subdivision (4 lots); TPM 20962, BC 10-0006, ER 05-09-021

October 25, 2010

| I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Habitat Loss Pe | rmit/Coastal | Sage Scrub (| Ordinance findings? | | | | |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT | | | | |
| Discussion: | | | | | | | |
| of the Multiple S subject to the H | Species Conso abitat Loss Po ne Habitat Los | ervation Progermit/Coasta ss Permit Ord | ements are located outside of the boundaries gram and the project site contains habitats I Sage Scrub Ordinance. The project has dinance as documented in the Draft Habitat | | | | |
| II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance? | | | | | | | |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT | | | | |
| Discussion: | | | | | | | |
| located outside | of the bounda ormance with | aries of the M the Multiple | rovements related to the proposed project are fultiple Species Conservation Program. Species Conservation Program and the uired. | | | | |
| III. GROUNDWA | | | es the project comply with the requirements of nance? | | | | |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT | | | | |

October 28, 2010

Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the Ramona Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

| The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |
|--|-----|----|-----------------------|
| The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |
| The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Article IV, Section 5)? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |
| The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |
| The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance? | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT |

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

No floodways or floodplain fringes are associated with the project site. Since no unpermitted uses are proposed within these resources, the project is in compliance with this section of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes:

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be place in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are steep slopes on the property however, an open space easement is proposed over the steep slope lands. Therefore, the project is in conformance with the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Christine Stevenson on April 20, 2007. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by County of San Diego approved archaeologist, Andrew R. Pigniolo, on February 15, 2007, and it has been determined there are two archaeological site(s) as well as three isolated artifacts. These resources include CA-SDI-18321, a Late Prehistoric temporary camp at the head of drainage consisting of four loci, A through D and CA-SDI-18322, a bedrock milling station. An archaeological technical study titled, "Cultural Resources Survey and Testing Program for the Neumann Parcel Map Project, Near Ramona, County of San Diego, California", dated June 2008, was prepared by Andrew Pigniolo of Laguna Environmental, Inc. Testing and other investigation has determined that the archaeological sites (with the exception of CA-SDI-18321 locus C) are not significant under the Resource Protection Ordinance, but are significant under the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, Section 15064. CA-SDI-18321 loci A, B and D along with CA-SDI-18322 will be preserved and protected in an open space easement.

| Guidelines, Section 15064. CA-SDI-18321 loci A, B and D along with CA-SDI-18322 will be preserved and protected in an open space easement. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | shed Protec | | - Does the project comply witl ater Management and Discha | | | | | |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE | | | | | |
| Discussion: | | | | | | | | |
| (SWMP), and Preconsidered adequ | eliminary Gra uate for CEC Stormwater I | ading Plan pro QA purposes a Mitigation Pla | rainage Study, Stormwater Ma epared by ERB Engineering. and complies with the San Die n (SUSMP) and Watershed P MP. | The SWMP is ego County | | | | |
| | | | ct comply with the County of County of San Diego Noise | | | | | |
| | YES | NO | NOT APPLICABLE | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

October 28, 2010

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.